

Canonsburg Borough Council Voting Meeting

Monday, February 12, 2024

6:30 PM

CALL TO ORDER:

The meeting was called to order by Mr. Russo at 6:30 pm.

Moment of Silence called by Mr. Russo.

Pledge of Allegiance

Roll Call:

Mr. John Severine - Present

Mr. Edward P. Yorke, Vice President - Present

Mr. Richard Bell - Present

Ms. Diane Richie - Present

Mr. Eric Miller - Present

Mr. Eric Chandler - Present

Mr. Richard Russo, President - Present

Others Present: Borough Manager Denise Lesnock, Mayor David Rhome, Solicitor Joseph Dalfonso, Public Works Director Brian Moody, Police Chief Alex Coghill

Absent: Engineer, Jeff Marcink.

GUESTS:

- Rob Luksis, Chairman of CHJSA – In December, we received a letter from Aqua requesting that we extend their bid due to the fact that we didn't get a motion for a vote because the bid was going to expire, and we didn't want to lose the traction that we had for six (6) months. So, the authority did vote to accept that, so it is still on the table, but I can tell everybody there is an expiration date on this bid, so I am going to urge everyone here, at some point in the next couple of months to bring this to a vote one way or another. So, that was done in December. In January, we did our re-org and all positions stayed the same as prior, including our solicitor and engineers. I did meet with three (3) of the five (5) service areas. The three being North Strabane, Cecil, and Chartiers and they requested, again I extended, if they had any questions about our budget and our rate

increase, basically if they had any questions or concerns, I would be more than happy to meet with them. So, we did meet with them, and I think it was just authority managers, and township and borough managers, so it was two (2) reps from both areas, one rep from Chartiers because Ms. Noble wears many hats down there. I met with them, and they really didn't have any questions that we couldn't answer, but the main thing that came out of that meeting was let's keep doing this, which we've offered to do, so we're going to try and keep the lines of communication open. And again, I said the phone the phone works both ways you know, if you want, you can call me, but you know I can call you too, because there's some things that were happening in other townships that we were unaware of. One being you know, they had, we had a massive fire sale on taps two years prior. So, our top sales last year in 23 were flat. You know we're used to selling 300-400 taps when we when we only sold 111 taps. So, that's an \$800,000 revenue shortfall on our behalf, so you can if that's going to happen again, I said please give us a heads up so we can get in front of that. But we had a good meeting. We shared a lot of good ideas. We're going to share resources so hopefully we'll continue to play nice moving forward, but other than that, that's what happened in January. So, I'll open it up to anybody on council that has any questions.

- Mr. Yorke – The only thing is when I asked my solicitor, you said a comment like let's get this over with or something like that or something to that effect. At the beginning of your speech, you said something about let's get this over with or we have to
- Mr. Luksis – No, it needs to come to a vote
- Mr. Yorke – Does it need to come to a vote, Mr. Solicitor?
- Joe Dalfonso, Solicitor - I believe there's a deadline to that bid
- Mr. Yorke - I didn't ask you that. Does it have to come to a vote?
- Joe Dalfonso, Solicitor - It has to be either action or inaction taken by Council before that deadline. Once that deadline hits, whether you vote on it or not, that deadline pass
- Mr. Yorke - What I'm saying it has to take it or doesn't have to, that where it has to
- Joe Dalfonso, Solicitor - A vote yes or no?

- Mr. Yorke – No, that ain't what I'm asking, not at all. Never mind
- Joe Dalfonso, Solicitor - It kind of would work like the way it did in was that December
- Mr. Russo – When we had a non-vote
- Joe Dalfonso, Solicitor – Yeah. I mean, it was in front of council, whether it comes in front of Council or not, there's a deadline, whether that deadline
- Mr. Yorke - Well, I'm not worried about the deadline. It's not a must that we go into this
- Joe Dalfonso, Solicitor - Legally, no
- Mr. Yorke – Thank you
- Mr. Russo – Anybody else? Couple of things, maybe just to soften it up a little bit, right? So you met with the upstreams and I know one of the reasons that that meeting was is regardless of the sale, we had quite a rating which we had a pretty substantial rate increase. Thirty percent (30%) across the board that I saw my sewer bill go up a little bit this past month. So that's already in place, and I think one of the comments was that we were fearful that the upstream community would not like that rate increase, that might kick back on that rate increase, might do what they have done in the past and that is challenged that rate increase. But from what I gather, at least so far, there's not been a challenge where they receptive to that rate increase, did they see the numbers, did they say, OK, the rate increase looks justifiable, it's a big rate increase, but yet we understand it.
- Mr. Luksis – Yes
- Mr. Russo – Ok. So, they haven't challenged it, there hasn't been an injunction, they haven't put the rate increase on hold. So that's passing through to all the upstreams plus us
- Mr. Luksis - Correct. That went into effect January 1
- Mr. Russo – Ok. And again, the other, the other thing that that I've been watching, so I know RT had had a comment about and we have the workshop on the 27, so I don't want this to get into a debate about all the little things, but one of the things that was recently presented to the state assembly by the chairman of the PUC was to take this ACT 13. Correct me, Rob, if I get this wrong or Joe, you've been

watching it. So, they've taken this Act 13, and the proposal is that they're going to tweak it. There was some discussion of abolishing Act 13. I'm sorry. Act 12. That would even allow this process to go any further any longer to anybody in the future, right. We're probably still considered in discussions under the prior rule. So, they've kept act 12, the proposal from the chairman of the PUC is that they're going to tweak it a bit to allow four basic things to now happen. One is a lot more citizen input. So, once it gets past us, the citizen input will be more meaningful the bidders on these will be required to send mailings to all of the residents through all of the upstream areas plus us. So, if it was off, we're just using them as an example. They're going to be required to really, really hit the residents hard with transparency and input.

- Mr. Yorke – They are going to do a bulk mounting
- Mr. Russo – Yes
- Mr. Yorke – Ok
- Mr. Russo - Second thing was they were they're proposing to tweak you guys remember the rates charts we saw how ten (10) years, here's Aqua, here's PA American, here's us. So, the PUC is going to require them to be a lot more stringent on that prediction, on that budgeting, on that proposal. They're going to have to tell us more than just, hey, here's a chart here's how it goes. They're going to need to document that in a more detailed method so that it's a number that isn't just thrown out by them, but a number that is substantiated by them, right? The third thing was. Joe, if you could help me with the third thing, but the I don't know, we'll come back to the third thing. The fourth (4th) one was the evaluation of these deals, right? And I know it was RT you had said and Tommy when he was here that it's worth more, right? Our number was \$145,000,000 and it's worth more. It's worth like you know how the numbers came about. The relevant but 250-280 was thrown around. So, the new proposal to this is going to do just the opposite and that is that the PUC is now saying based on how you evaluate it, it's going to be 1.6 of the proposal is you're going to have a value of our plant brick and mortar is worth X, and they can only give you so much more than that. So, it takes away all of that just throwing big money around, right? So, instead of them

coming in and saying it's worth 250 million or whatever, the PUC is now going to say your plant gets valued at X. Any proposals that the PUC would sign off on have to be within like a 1.6% increase of that number, so it's going to cap all this crazy money that's floating around out there. The third one, Joe

- Joe Dalfonso, Solicitor - Yeah, it deals with the utility valuation experts. The appraisal of the facility, there's three approaches to valuing it. Those three (3) approaches all need to be considered. Its cost, income approach, I forget the third one.
- Mr. Russo – It might be a market approach
- Joe Dalfonso, Solicitor - I believe it is. So, they have to consider all three and weigh all three and coming up with their final value. And that's typical for anybody who does these evaluations. So, if you leave one out, you don't have a real good explanation as to why that goes against the credibility of the whole appraisal
- Mr. Russo – Sure. Ok, so I just want to share with the group that that's something that's on the table with the state level right now. Diane, Eric, as we talked through it, I mean, I don't know if you came away more confused than you were before or. Do you have any questions? It's going to be a very open discussion on the 27th, and I know Rob, it's a very open conversation with Rob, so. anything and everything is on the table if you want to ask him
- Ms. Richie – I will have more questions on the 27th, I'm sure. I got a list. I'll get more of them
- Mr. Russo – Ok. Everybody else is. Ok. All right. Thank you. Appreciate it

PUBLIC COMMENTS:

- Donna Stutts, Munnell St – I just want to ask what the benefits are to our community to sell our plant. I mean we've had them for years and they're taking it. Why did these others have a say in the matter at all?
 - Mr. Russo – I'm sorry I missed that last
 - Mrs. Stutts – The upstream
 - Mr. Russo - How do they have a say in it? I mean, we can talk for hours, right.

And they're saying I'll, I'll, I'll answer that question 1st and then the first question. So, they're saying it is they don't have a say in terms of do we sell it or don't we. There's three people that are Canonsburg Houston Joint Sewer Authority, Houston Borough and Canonsburg Borough are the owners, so they we have that say. The upstream communities have a contract for our plant to treat their poop right and so going forward, those contracts will have to be renegotiated with whoever's going to buy the plant. If those contracts can't be negotiated by that new owner, then then the deal falls apart. So that's they don't really have a say, but yet they have a say because they'll have to work with that new owner, and they'll have to have a contractual relationship with that new owner. If they can't work that out, then it doesn't go any further. So, our step is just a step in the process. It'll be years before anything gets solidified. Those type of things have to happen. PUC has to have hearings public where you know, auditorium meetings, the whole thing. Your first question is the benefit, and I would like to table that until the 27th because I don't want to give you a biased opinion of what I think, and I don't want it to turn into a debate of what people that don't want it think and then we just we do the 27th now. So come on the 27th and each one that we have after that or hopefully you've been to the ones before, so you'll hear all of those reasons why it's a good idea and folks that don't want it, why they think it's a bad idea

- Mrs. Stutts - Ok

PRESIDENT'S COMMENTS:

Mr. Russo reminded everyone of the sewer authority workshop that is scheduled for February 27, 2024, at 6:30 PM, in Council Chambers. Mr. Russo then asked Mr. Chandler to present his report on the meeting with the Land Bank and to speak on the vacant homes, condemned properties, and CDBG.

Mr. Chandler – We met with Rob Phillips of the Redevelopment Authority. He manages the land bank. You guys are aware that we are part of the land bank. He talked about blighted property, condemned property. There's a number of other things we talked about. However, we're going to continue the process and flush out that process of whether that's going to look like in terms of getting Rob the list for the land bank who has the opportunity to purchase you

know homes off of whether they're condemned, they can approach the owners, things like that. In addition to the there's repository sale, judicial sale that they have the ability to buy property off of off of those sales and on the judicial sale specifically, they're able to jump in line. They're able to get in line first in line for any property that we see in Canonsburg that could be a property that they can rehab it, tear the building down, build something new and one example is they're actually doing it on Jefferson Ave. There was a house that they purchased, they tore the building down and this what this summer? I believe this summer they're going good

Mr. Russo – Was that condemned by us?

Melissa Graff, Code and Zoning Officer – Well, it was determined uninhabitable. Harold and I went there pretty late, like right before the land bank was going to purchase it and we went inside, and it was determined it was not inhabitable

Mr. Yorke – You say it was on Jefferson?

Melissa Graff, Code and Zoning Officer - N Jefferson. Well, actually at the bottom half. So, if you go to the stop sign and you make the left like you're going down toward the park and then you this part and when you make the left up there. It's on the right-hand side

Mr. Yorke – There used to be a garage up there

Melissa Graff, Code and Zoning Officer – It's right next door

Mr. Russo - So say they actually bought that, tore it down, they're going to build a new home

Mr. Chandler - Two-story home and then the idea and the goal is to resell it, for somebody to get it, to get in there

Mr. Russo - No, it's a. Good meeting. There's a lot of things that the land bank can do, and we'll work to getting more of their resources working for us, but that's an example of one of the things. A friend of John's brought up a property that the land bank had purchased at the tax sale, and then they bought it from the land banks, and we've had three instances of it, and we're trying to make better use of the land bank because it there is a fee associated with

belonging to the land bank and we want to make sure that that it's a win for both.

Finally, Mr. Russo advised that the Washington County Redevelopment Authority has an assistance program available for homeowners looking and/or needing to make upgrades to their homes.

MAYOR'S COMMENTS:

Mayor Rhome provided an update on the monthly police report as well as a list of training that the police department continues to go through. He went on to announce that a new vehicle was placed in service as of today. On April 6, 2024, from 10:00 AM to 12:00 PM, there will be a document shredding event held in the parking lot next to the municipality.

Mr. Russo – Any questions for Dave? I've got one. So, are resident parking signs allowable, and maybe it's a question for everybody. So, we've noticed some people have signs in front of their houses, particularly on Elm Street. There's one there's a church down there. I think there was an old house converted to a church, I'm not sure what denomination it is, but there's a sign up and it looks official sign that says Resident Parking Only, and I know if that was a thing that we could probably get a lot of people signing up for that and there's a few others throughout town. So, are you aware of that being an approved kind of parking thing at any point or did they go out on Amazon and buy a resident parking sign and put it up?

Mayor Rhome – I was totally unaware of that. The one on Blaine Ave, in the 500 block, and that's been there forever and what I was told many years ago was that was in the ordinance, and it just continues to stay up there.

Mr. Russo – Ok. So, in order for it because I'm mentioning it now, now everybody's going to be wanting a resident parking sign, but if we don't allow it, then we don't allow it

Joe Dalfonso, Solicitor – I'll have to take a look at your ordinance. Typically, with these it doesn't reserve a spot in front of somebody's house, rather, it's a residential zone that you have a permit for. I'm not aware of anything here

Mr. Yorke – I think the one over on Elm, there's a church right there, correct?

Mr. Russo – That’s what I said, there's a church there and it looks like somebody is saying, hey, I don't want the church people parking here on Sunday so, I’m going to put a sign up that says resident only

Mr. Severine - The people that live next door are the ones that own the church

Mr. Russo – Ok

Mayor Rhome - We've never authorized this

Mr. Russo – Ok

Mr. Bell – I can go back in law enforcement. At one time, in east end, when Cooper’s was big, they used to I think Blaine Ave. and maybe I'm not sure if Duquesne but Blaine Ave. and Chartiers, they made it resident parking only because all of the all the employees didn't want to park in their lot over because it was further away from the building. So, for a while that was resident parking. So, maybe the signs down there has been around since then, nobody took it down. But I don't know whether that was in our ordinance, I'm sure it was. Whether they ever did away with it or not

Joe Dalfonso, Solicitor – We’ll have to look

Mr. Russo – Can you search the ordinance just by?

Joe Dalfonso, Solicitor – It will be in your parking ordinance

Mr. Russo – Check it out. Let us know

Joe Dalfonso, Solicitor - I don't recall ever seeing residential parking. For street parking anyways

VOTING ITEMS:

1. Motion to approve the January 8, 2024, meeting minutes of Canonsburg Borough Council.

Mr. Chandler made a motion to approve the January 8, 2024, meeting minutes of Canonsburg Borough Council. Seconded by Ms. Richie.

No questions on the motion.

7 Yes, 0 No, 0 Absent. All in Favor. Motion carries.

2. Motion to approve all bills presented on the warrant lists.

Mr. Bell made a motion to approve paying all bills presented on the warrant lists. Seconded by Mr. Miller.

No questions on the motion.

Roll Call:

Mr. Severine - Yes

Mr. Miller - Yes

Mr. Bell - Yes

Mr. Chandler - Yes

Mr. Yorke - Yes

Ms. Richie - Yes

Mr. Russo - Yes

7 Yes, 0 No, 0 Absent. All in Favor. Motion carries.

3. Motion to approve Ordinance #1382 (Providing fire inspection of common areas of commercial structures having residential use).

Mr. Severine made a motion to approve Ordinance #1382 (Providing fire inspection of common areas of commercial structures having residential use). Seconded by Ms. Richie.

No questions on the motion.

Roll Call:

Mr. Chandler - Yes

Mr. Severine - Yes

Mr. Yorke - Yes

Mr. Bell - Yes

Ms. Richie - Yes

Mr. Miller - Yes

Mr. Russo - Yes

7 Yes, 0 No, 0 Absent. All in Favor. Motion carries.

4. Motion to approve Ordinance #1383 (Street Opening Amendment).

Mr. Severine made a motion to approve Ordinance #1383 (Street Opening Amendment).

Seconded by Mr. Bell.

No questions on the motion.

Roll Call:

Mr. Miller - Yes

Mr. Yorke - Yes

Ms. Richie - Yes

Mr. Severine - Yes

Mr. Chandler - Yes

Mr. Bell - Yes

Mr. Russo - Yes

7 Yes, 0 No, 0 Absent. All in Favor. Motion carries.

5. Motion to approve Borough Manager's Contract.

Mr. Chandler made a motion to approve Borough Manager's Contract. Seconded by Mr. Bell.

No questions on the motion.

Roll Call:

Ms. Richie - Yes

Mr. Severine - Yes

Mr. Bell - Yes

Mr. Yorke - Yes

Mr. Chandler - Yes

Mr. Miller - Yes

Mr. Russo - Yes

7 Yes, 0 No, 0 Absent. All in Favor. Motion carries.

6. Motion to authorize the Chief of Police to execute the Organized Crime Drug Enforcement Task Forces FY2024 Agreement.

Mr. Yorke made a motion to authorize the Chief of Police to execute the Organized Crime Drug Enforcement Task Forces FY2024 Agreement. Seconded by Ms. Richie.

No questions on the motion.

All in Favor. Motion carries.

7. Motion to approve Spartan Construction Services Pay Application No. 16 in the amount of \$91,634.95.

Mr. Severine made a motion to approve Spartan Construction Services Pay Application No. 16 in the amount of \$91,634.95. Seconded by Ms. Richie.

No questions on the motion.

Roll Call:

Mr. Bell - Yes

Mr. Miller - Yes

Ms. Richie - Yes

Mr. Chandler - Yes

Mr. Severine - Yes

Mr. Yorke - Yes

Mr. Russo - Yes

7 Yes, 0 No, 0 Absent. All in Favor. Motion carries.

8. Motion to Award/Reject Shiloh Industrial Contractors, Inc. bid in the amount of \$63,997.00 on Contract 2023-06 Baby Pool Rehabilitation.

Mr. Chandler made a motion to reject Shiloh Industrial Contractors, Inc. bid in the amount of \$63,997.00 on Contract 2023-06 Baby Pool Rehabilitation. Seconded by Mr. Bell.

No questions on the motion.

All in Favor. Motion carries.

9. Motion to authorize KLH to submit RFP's for grout injection.

Mr. Miller made a motion to authorize KLH to submit RFP's for grout injection. Seconded by Ms. Richie.

No questions on the motion.

Roll Call:

Mr. Miller - Yes

Mr. Bell - Yes

Mr. Yorke - Yes

Mr. Severine - Yes

Mr. Chandler - Yes

Ms. Richie - Yes

Mr. Russo - Yes

7 Yes, 0 No, 0 Absent. All in Favor. Motion carries.

10. Motion to approve 2024 Spring Repository Sale.

Mr. Chandler made a motion to approve 2024 Spring Repository Sale. Seconded by Mr. Miller.

No questions on the motion.

Roll Call:

Mr. Yorke - Yes

Mr. Chandler - Yes

Mr. Bell - Yes

Ms. Richie - Yes

Mr. Severine - Yes

Mr. Miller - Yes

Mr. Russo - Yes

7 Yes, 0 No, 0 Absent. All in Favor. Motion carries.

11. Motion to approve purchasing an AguaZip'N from Poolside Adventures (to be installed at the pool replacing a diving board) in the amount of \$17,125.00 and would be paid from Act 13.

Mr. Bell made a motion to approve purchasing an AguaZip'N from Poolside Adventures (to be installed at the pool replacing a diving board) in the amount of \$17,125.00 and would be paid from Act 13. No second.

Members of council discussed their concerns over the safety of the AguaZip'N

Motion failed for lack of second.

12. Motion to authorize Public Works to build a wall and widen the entrance of the public works facility not to exceed \$9,500.00.

Mr. Yorke made a motion to authorize Public Works to build a wall and widen the entrance of the public works facility not to exceed \$9,500.00. Seconded by Ms. Richie.

Mr. Bell – Yeah. Did anyone look at this? I mean I am on facilities and didn't know anything about it.

Mr. Russo – We talked about it last week. Brian can bring you up to speed

Mr. Bell – Ok. I'll stop by this weekend

Denise Lesnock, Borough Manager – It just allows the tractor trailer trucks to make that bend a little easier

Roll Call:

Mr. Chandler - Yes

Mr. Severine - Yes

Mr. Yorke - Yes

Ms. Richie - Yes

Mr. Miller - Yes

Mr. Bell - Yes

Mr. Russo - Yes

7 Yes, 0 No, 0 Absent. All in Favor. Motion carries.

13. Motion to appoint Dennis Smiddle/Tom Lawrence to the Planning Commission for a 4-year term ending 12/31/27.

Mr. Miller made a motion to appoint Tom Lawrence to the Planning Commission for a 4-year term ending 12/31/27. Seconded by Mr. Chandler.

No questions on the motion.

7 Yes, 0 No, 0 Absent. All in Favor. Motion carries

14. Motion to authorize KLH to seek bids for paving the VFW Pavilion Parking Lot.

Mr. Yorke made a motion to authorize KLH to seek bids for paving the VFW Pavilion

Parking Lot. Seconded by Ms. Richie.

Mr. Severine – Yeah I do. I know they are going to pave it, but is all of the drainage done in that parking lot before you pave?

Brian Moody, Public Works Director – Yes, we put a catch basin in

Mr. Severine – So, it's ok to pave it

Brian Moody, Public Works Director – Yeah

Mr. Severine - Ok

Roll Call:

Mr. Yorke - Yes

Mr. Severine - Yes

Ms. Richie - Yes

Mr. Miller - Yes

Mr. Bell - Yes

Mr. Chandler - Yes

Mr. Russo - Yes

7 Yes, 0 No, 0 Absent. All in Favor. Motion carries.

15. Motion to authorize KLH to seek bids for paving the Public Works Facility Parking Lot.

Mr. Chandler made a motion to authorize KLH to seek bids for paving the Public Works Facility Parking Lot. Seconded by Mr. Miller.

No questions on the motion.

Roll Call:

Mr. Chandler - Yes

Mr. Miller - Yes

Ms. Richie - Yes

Mr. Severine - Yes

Mr. Yorke – Yes

Mr. Bell - Yes

Mr. Russo - Yes

7 Yes. 0 No. 0 Absent. Motion Carries.

16. Motion to authorize KLH to seek bids for 2024 Road Project (Paving Duquesne after an I&I Project).

Mr. Yorke made a motion to authorize KLH to seek bids for 2024 Road Project (Paving Duquesne after an I&I Project). Seconded by Mr. Severine.

No questions on the motion. Mr. Russo referred council to attachment B in the packet from KLH.

Roll Call:

Mr. Miller - Yes

Ms. Richie - Yes

Mr. Severine - Yes

Mr. Chandler - Yes

Mr. Bell - Yes

Mr. Yorke - Yes

Mr. Russo - Yes

7 Yes, 0 No, 0 Absent. All in Favor. Motion carries.

17. Motion to authorize KLH to seek bids for 2024 I&I Project (to include Duquesne, Arlington, and Franklin for sanitary sewer work).

Mr. Chandler made a motion to authorize KLH to seek bids for 2024 I&I Project (to include Duquesne, Arlington, and Franklin for sanitary sewer work). Seconded by Mr. Severine.

No questions on the motion. Mr. Russo referred council to the packet from KLH.

Roll Call:

Mr. Bell - Yes

Mr. Chandler - Yes

Mr. Miller - Yes

Ms. Richie - Yes

Mr. Severine - Yes

Mr. Yorke - Yes

Mr. Russo - Yes

7 Yes, 0 No, 0 Absent. All in Favor. Motion carries.

18. Motion to authorize KLH to seek bids for Public Works Fence and Gate Project.

Mr. Miller made a motion to authorize KLH to seek bids for Public Works Fence and Gate Project. Seconded by Mr. Bell.

Ms. Richie – Where is the fence going again?

Mr. Russo – So, correct me Brian, but I think the fence is going around the entire public works facility

Brian Moody, Public Works Director – On the side, I can't think of the guy's name. I guess it would be on the north side if you come down Hutchinson. It was discussed by both of the fence companies that because of the tree line that separates our property from that paper alley above us, the fence is not going the full length of that back. They said it would be too hard to get the posts in there because of the trees

Mr. Russo - Ok

Brian Moody, Public Works Director – Basically, it is going to go part way off of the front right corner of the building, but it's not going to that entire length of the back building.

Mr. Russo – Keep in mind guys, as we approve these things, these are just to bid. Council was directed to the packet from KLH

Roll Call:

Mr. Yorke - Yes

Mr. Severine - Yes

Mr. Bell - Yes

Mr. Miller - Yes

Mr. Chandler - Yes

Ms. Richie - Yes

Mr. Russo - Yes

7 Yes, 0 No, 0 Absent. All in Favor. Motion carries.

19. Motion to approve based on the recommendation of the Planning Commission, JMH Automotive's application requesting a minor subdivision of his property at 620 W. Pike St. This will separate the lot so that one parcel is in Canonsburg and the other is in Chartiers Township.

Mr. Yorke made a motion to approve based on the recommendation of the Planning Commission, JMH Automotive's application requesting a minor subdivision of his property at 620 W. Pike St. This will separate the lot so that one parcel is in Canonsburg and the other is in Chartiers Township. Seconded by Mr. Severine.

No questions on the motion.

All in Favor. Motion carries.

UNFINISHED BUSINESS:

1. Appointment to Parks and Recreation (we have 3 letters of interest).
2. Allegheny Goatscape (need to find alternative).
3. Conditional Use Hearing for Harold Close (microbrewery located at 409 Perry Como/546 Euclid Ave) will be held 3/04/2024, at 5:30 PM.
4. Workshop concerning the sale of the Sewer Plant will be held 2/27/2024 at 6:30 PM.

NEW BUSINESS:

EXECUTIVE SESSION:

ADJOURNMENT:

Motion by Mr. Yorke to adjourn the meeting at 7:34 PM. Seconded by Mr. Severine.

All in favor.

Meeting adjourned at 7:39 PM.

Submitted by:

Melissa Graff